## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

REALTEK SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION,

No. C-04-4265 MMC

Plaintiff,

ORDER DENYING REALTEK'S
REQUEST FOR LEAVE TO FILE
MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

(Docket No. 98)

MARVELL SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.,

Defendants.

W/ III LEE GEWIIGONDOOT OT, IIIO.,

٧.

connection with any ENE session." See ADR L.R. 5-12.

Before the Court is plaintiff Realtek Semiconductor Corporation's ("Realtek") motion, filed October 4, 2005, for leave to file a motion for reconsideration of the Court's September 23, 2005 order denying Realtek's motion to file under seal an Early Neutral Evaluation ("ENE") statement previously submitted by defendant Marvell Semiconductor Inc. ("Marvell"), along with a brief and declaration in which Realtek refers to events occurring during the ENE session between Realtek and Marvell. The Court held that Realtek had violated ADR Local Rule 5-12, which requires all parties to "treat as 'confidential information' the contents of the written ENE Statements, anything that happened or was said, any position taken, and any view of the merits of the case formed by any participant in

## Case 3:04-cv-04265-MMC Document 102 Filed 10/14/05 Page 2 of 2

Realtek now seeks leave to file a motion for reconsideration of the Court's order, on the ground that an "exception to the ADR Local Rules regarding confidentiality appl[ies] in this instance due to the very high probative value of this evidence on issues squarely raised by Marvel in its motion [for attorneys' fees] . . . and because such highly probative evidence is not available to Realtek from any other source." (See Motion at 2.) The Commentary to ADR Local Rule 5-12 provides that there may be "some limited circumstances in which the need for disclosure outweighs the importance of protecting the confidentiality of an ENE session," citing such examples as death threats, the use of mediation to commit a felony, and the need to prevent manifest injustice. See ADR Local Rule 5-12, Commentary. Realtek's proposed motion for reconsideration fails to raise any such or equivalent circumstances.

Accordingly, Realtek's motion for leave to file a motion for reconsideration is hereby DENIED.

United States District Judge

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 14, 2005

1 /